IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS Central Division

JUN 2 0 2024

TAMMY H. DOWNS, CLERK

CORNELIUS BROOKS

VS.

NO. 4:24-cv-523-LPR

DOLGENCORP, LLC, d/b/a DOLLAR GENERAL, This case assigned to District Judge Rudofsky ROZIER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.

and to Magistrate Judge_

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

DEFENDANTS

COMPLAINT

Comes the Plaintiff, Cornelius Brooks pro se, and for his Complaint against the Defendants, Dolgencorp, LLC, d/b/a Dollar General, Rozier Construction Company, Arkansas Department of Transportation, Environmental Protection Agency, herein states:

JURISDICTION

- 1. That Plaintiff herein is a citizen and resident of Drew County, Arkansas, and a citizen of the State of Arkansas and of the United States of America,
- 2. That the complaint herein is brought by Plaintiff based upon actions of the Defendants, separately and in concert with one another, that results in damage to the land, water and health resources of Drew County, and therefore inures to a threat to the health, welfare and benefits to the Plaintiff;
- 3. That the Defendant, Dolgencorp, LLC is a foreign corporate entity located in Goodlettsville, Tennessee and doing business in Arkansas and Drew County, as Dollar General,
- 4. That Rozier Construction Company is a corporate entity doing business in Arkansas and Drew County, and located in Carrollton, Mississippi;

- 5. That the Arkansas Department of Transportation is an entity and/or arm of the State of Arkansas with jurisdiction over the State roads and rights of way in Arkansas and Drew County;
- 6. That the Environmental Protection Agency is a subdivision of the government of the United States of America and acts in Arkansas and Drew County through its affiliation with the State of Arkansas;

FACTS

- 7. That on or about April 12, 2024, the Defendant Dolgencorp began preparation and construction of a Dollar General store in the City of Wilmar, Arkansas, in Drew County, using the services of Rozier Construction Company;
- 8. That during and in the course of such construction, certain natural and/or manmade water drainage routes were damaged or rerouted which resulted in damage to the local topography and caused stagnation and erosion which has or will, likely affect the local waterways to the detriment of the ecology of the area and to the quality of the water and environment of the area;
- 9. That Plaintiff filed a formal complaint on May 8, 2024 with both the National Response Center and Arkansas Energy Environment Offices (See, Exhibits 1 and 2);
- 10. That based on investigation of the records, of previous construction by Dolgencorp, using the services of Defendant Rozier Construction, there have been four (4) previous projects by these Defendants in the Drew County area and neither of the construction projects comply with regulations intended to protect the environmental assets of the area. Plaintiff did investigate other projects inside Drew County to determine if there are other projects done in violation of the regulations. It is a common practice for the Defendants Dolgencorp and Rozier Construction to begin construction of a Dollar General without required permits (See, Exhibits 3, 4, 5, and 6);

- 11. That Rozier Construction, working under the direction and as a contractor for Dolgencorp, regularly releases gasoline, diesel fuels and petroleum onto the grounds in the area, which then soaks into the earth and/or is drained into the local streams and waterways, thereby contaminating the waters and adversely affecting the ground and foliage in the area;
- 12. That Plaintiff notified Defendants the Environmental Protection Agency on May 8, 2024 and the Arkansas Department of Transportation on May 16, 2024, (See, Exhibits 1 and 2), who both inspected the area but took no corrective action even though under the regulations of each agency they are charged with the responsibility to protect the natural resources of the area, while monitoring and protecting the property of the State in their respective areas of responsibility, including the land, water, and resource of Wilmar, in Drew County, Arkansas and that same is a nuisance to the people of the area and should be abated;
- 13. That subsequent to Plaintiff's complaint to Defendants Environmental Protection Agency and Arkansas Department of Transportation, each of these two agencies investigated and determined that Defendants Dolgencorp and Rozier Construction were in violation of state, local and federal regulations that require protection of these environmental properties, and monitoring of construction efforts, and, in response said Defendant Rozier Construction has concurred and admitted certain violations in this project (See, Exhibit 7);
- 14. That Defendant Environmental Protection Agency knowingly failed to follow its own regulations, which requires said Defendant to require prior clearance of its regulations and requirements before any permit be issued allowing the work herein to proceed;

RELIEF SOUGHT

- 15. That the Court should direct, order and monitor the Defendant Dolgencorp, to cease, desist, and discontinue its construction in the described site, pending abatement of the nuisance described herein:
- 16. That Defendant Rozier Construction be directed, ordered, and monitored to cease, desist, and discontinue any construction efforts herein, pending abatement of the nuisance described herein;
- 17. That Defendant Arkansas Department of Transportation be directed, ordered and monitored to require Defendants Dolgencorp and Rozier Construction to cease, desist and discontinue any construction efforts, pending abatement of the nuisance created and continuing herein;
- 18. That Defendant Environmental Protection Agency be ordered to require Defendants Dolgencorp and Rozier Construction to abate the nuisance created and ongoing herein, order soil and water samples for all adjacent property and;
- 19. That Defendants, jointly and severally, should be ordered to pay the costs, fees, and damages, including any applicable monetary damages associated with Plaintiff's bringing of this action and for all other just and proper relief.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that Defendants, jointly and severally, be required to abate the nuisance as herein described, and for costs, fees, and monetary damages and for all other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Cornelius Brooks, Plaintiff, pro se

131 Mona Lisa Ct Monticello, Ar 71655

CERTIFICATE OF TRUTH

I, Cornelius Brooks, state on both that the facts, matters and statements herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Cornelius Brooks, Plaintiff, pro se

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF ARKANSAS

COUNTY OF DREW

On this the <u>/</u>922 day of June 2024, before me, the undersigned Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared Cornelius Brooks, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and he acknowledged having executed the same for the consideration and purposes therein expressed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I hereunto set my hand, and official seal.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Complaint has been mailed to the Defendants, Dolgencorp, LLC, d/b/a Dollar General, Rozier Construction Company, Arkansas Department of Transportation, and Environmental Protection Agency, on this 1944 day of June, 2024...

Cornelius Brooks, Plaintiff, pro se

Case 4:24-cy-00523-LPR Document 1 Filed 06/20/24 Page 7 of 24 hibi /

HQS-SMB-NRC@uscg.mil <HQS-SMB-NRC@uscg.mil>

ate: Wed, May 8, 2024 at 15:40

.om

NATIONAL RESPONSE CENTER 1-800-424-8802

*** For Public Use ***

Information released to a third party shall comply with any applicable federal and/or state Freedom of Information and Privacy Laws

Incident Report # 1398406

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

*Report taken by NRC on 08-MAY-24 at 16:24 ET.

incident Type: FIXED

Incident Cause: UNKNOWN
Affected Area: STORM DRAIN

incident was discovered on 02-MAY-24 at 14:00 local incident time.

Affected Medium: WATER / SOIL, GRASS, STORMM DRIAN

SUSPECTED RESPONSIBLE PARTY

Organization: GENERAL DOLLAR STORE

WILMAR, AR 71675

Type of Organization: PRIVATE ENTERPRISE

INCIDENT LOCATION

HIGHWAY 278 W & HIGHWAY 133 N County: DREW

City: WILMAR State: AR Zip: 71675

CONSTRUCTION

RELEASED MATERIAL(S)

CHRIS Code: OUN Official Material Name: UNKNOWN OIL

Also Known As:

Qty Released: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT Qty in Water: 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT

DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT

THE CALLER IS REPORTING A RELEASE OF UNKNOWN OIL, ONTO THE GROUND AND INTO A WATERWAY, FROM MACHINERY ON THE CONSTRUCTION SITE. THE CAUSE OF THE RELEASE IS UNKNOWN AT THIS TIME.

age: N/A

Package: N/A

Building ID:

Type of Fixed Object: CONSTRUCTION SITE

Power Generating Facility: UNKNOWN

Generating Capacity:

Type of Fuel: NPDES:

NPDES Compliance: UNKNOWN

--WATER INFORMATION---

3ody of Water: STORM DRAIN

Tributary of:

Nearest River Mile Marker:

Water Supply Contaminated: UNKNOWN

IMPACT

Fire Involved: NO Fire Extinguished: UNKNOWN

NJURIES: NO Sent to Hospital: Empl/Crew: Passenger:

FATALITIES: NO Empl/Crew: Passenger: Occupant:

EVACUATIONS:NO Who Evacuated: Radius/Area:

Damages: NO

Hours Direction of

Closure Type Description of Closure Closed Closure

Air: NO

Major

Road: NO

Artery:NO

Waterway:NO

Track: NO

Passengers Transferred: NO Environmental Impact: NO

Media Interest: NONE

REMEDIAL ACTIONS

NONE

Release Secured: UNKNOWN

Release Rate:

WEATHER

Weather: RAINY, °F

ADDITIONAL AGENCIES NOTIFIED

Federal:

State/Local: WILMAR LOCAL GOVERNMENT

State/Local On Scene: State Agency Number:

NOTIFICATIONS BY NRC

AR DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (COMMAND CENTER)

08-MAY-24 16:39

ARKANSAS DEPT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SVC (MAIN OFFICE - DIVISION OF HEALTH)

08-MAY-24 16:39

ARKANSAS POISON CENTER (MAIN OFFICE)

08-MAY-24 16:39

AR STATE EMERGENCY SERVICES (MAIN OFFICE)

08-MAY-24 16:39

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL (GRASP)

08-MAY-24 16:39

ASST COMDT FOR INTELLIGENCE (CG-2) (OFFICE OF INTELLIGENCE PLANS AND

OLICY

(CG-25)

08-MAY-24 16:39

DEPT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (SECRETARY OPERATION CENTER (SOC))

08-MAY-24 16:39

OHS CISA (CISA CENTRAL)

08-MAY-24 16:39

OFFICE OF ENV. POLICY & COMPLIANCE (OEPC REGION 6)

08-MAY-24 16:39

DOT CRISIS MANAGEMENT CENTER (MAIN OFFICE)

08-MAY-24 16:39

EPA CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION (CID REGION 6 - BRAVO OFFICE)

08-MAY-24 16:39

J.S. EPA VI (MAIN OFFICE)

FEMA REGION 6 OFFICES (FEMA REGION 6 OFFICES)

08-MAY-24 16:39

JSCG NATIONAL COMMAND CENTER (MAIN OFFICE)

08-MAY-24 16:39

JOINT TASK FORCE CIVIL SUPPORT (CBRN ANALYSIS CELL)

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH ADMIN (DALLAS OFFICE) 08-MAY-24 16:39 PIPELINE & HAZMAT SAFETY ADMIN (HAZARDOUS MATERIAL ACCIDENT **IVESTIGATION**) 08-MAY-24 16:39 REPORTING PARTY (RP SUBMITTER) 08-MAY-24 16:39 SHELBY SHERIFF'S OFFICE (CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE UNIT) 08-MAY-24 16:39 TSA ARKANSAS (COMMAND CENTER) 08-MAY-24 16:39 TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMIN (REGION 4 SURFACE OPERATIONS) 08-MAY-24 16:39 **JSCG DISTRICT 8 (MAIN OFFICE)** 08-MAY-24 16:39 JS COURTS JUDICIAL SECURITY DIV (FACILITIES AND SECURITY OFFICE (FSO)) 08-MAY-24 16:39 J.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE (ECOLOGICAL SERVICES LAFAYETTE LA) 08-MAY-24 16:39 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WILMAR LOCAL GOVERNMENT STATED THEY WILL BE CONDUCTING AN **NVESTIGATION.** *** END INCIDENT REPORT #1398406 *** Report any problems by calling 1-800-424-8802 PLEASE VISIT OUR WEB SITE AT http://nrc.uscg.milg/18 584 1612 Deul 5/18/24 13:24 50/-682-074/ Enus/ CBleven Fatley Haz wait/ water Of Brad toler 50. Wester Quelity (5/28/24



ARKANSAS ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT

Division of Environmental Quality
Office of Land Resources
5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR 72118

5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR 72118					
				100,10004	
COMPLAINT# 032795	AFIN# 22-0000	00	DATE RECEIVED 05	/08/2024	
PERMIT# / RST ID# / 1	EPA ID# N/A		COUNTY Drev	w	
PERSON RECEIVING REPORT	Mike Burton		DATE 05	5/13/2024	
HOW COMPLAINT WAS RECI	EIVED PHONE [LETTER ME	MAIL/WEBSITE	VERBAL	
HOW COMPLANT WAS REE!	AACO CARONE				
COMPLAINANT NAME	ADDRESS			PHONE	
Cornelius Brooks	Wilmar			870-723-7622	
				<u></u>	
COMPLAINT AGAINST	ADDRESS			PHONE	
Dollar General	Corner of HV West Wilma		133, 2576 US HWY 278	N/A	
COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION	reported that on May ground at the corner of drain. The citizen sta	2, 2024, an unlof Hwy 278 and ated that there is	DEM notified EM that a problem amount of oil was a Hwy 133 in Wilmar and in a construction site at this and the leak for an unknown	released onto the to a nearby storm location and that	
LOCATION (In Detail)	Dollar General Constru	uction Site			
			IDATE IO	5/13/2024	
SUPERVISOR REFERRAL	Ashley Hahn	The second secon	DATE 0	3/13/2024	
MEDIA SUPERVISOR REFER	RAL Ashley Hahn		DATE 0	5/13/2024	
RECEIVING INSPECTOR	Mike Burton		DATE 0	5/13/2024	
PREVIOUS COMPLAINT	☐ YES 🖾 NO	MEDIA	DATE		
DISCHARGE TO STREAM	☐ YES ☐ NO	NAME OF STRE	EAM		
	ADDITION	AL INFORMATI	ON		
Complaint Report 12/1/2016		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Compressive and process and at MV4V					

en de la companya de La companya de la co	FOLLOW UP O	ON COMPLA	INT		• •
COMPLAINT# 032795	INSPECTOR Mik	ve Ruston	<u> </u>	DATE 05/14/2024	
CONFERNIVI# 032793				DATE 03/14/2024	
	INVESTIGAT stigated the Dollar General co	TON FINDING			
eing cleared and leveled for listressed vegetation was obs	ator, Michael Goodman, was new store construction. The p erved other than standard con int. No oil sheen, smell, or co	property was ins istruction and la	pected, and no nd-clearing op	oil contamination or ove erations in the storm dra	erly in
	Brooks, approached DEQ pers				
DEQ to the church property to over onto the church and near heen in standing water. Ther tated that the construction or that the next steps in the com	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browne was a significant amount movement had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for D	aping and the am ooks had many p oore water on the y and cover up the	nount of mud, of hotos of previous property in property was	dirt, and water that had c bus days showing possibl ior days, but Mr. Brooks ter. Mr. Brooks was info	ome le oil s had
DEQ to the church property to over onto the church and near theen in standing water. Ther stated that the construction cr	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browe was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess.	aping and the am ooks had many p oore water on the y and cover up the	nount of mud, of hotos of previous property in property was	dirt, and water that had c bus days showing possibl ior days, but Mr. Brooks ter. Mr. Brooks was info	ome le oil s had
DEQ to the church property to over onto the church and near theen in standing water. There tated that the construction or that the next steps in the com- complaint investigation process.	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browe was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess.	aping and the ampoks had many phore water on the yand cover up to DEQ to reach out	nount of mud, of hotos of previous property in property in property to OWQ for fu	dirt, and water that had cous days showing possible ior days, but Mr. Brookster. Mr. Brooks was infourther movement in the	ome le oil s had orme
DEQ to the church property to over onto the church and near heen in standing water. Ther tated that the construction or hat the next steps in the com- complaint investigation process	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browne was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess.	aping and the ampoks had many phore water on the yand cover up to DEQ to reach out	nount of mud, of hotos of previous property in property in property to OWQ for fu	dirt, and water that had cous days showing possible ior days, but Mr. Brookster. Mr. Brooks was infourther movement in the	ome le oil s had orme
DEQ to the church property to over onto the church and near heen in standing water. Ther tated that the construction or hat the next steps in the com- omplaint investigation process t was recommended that OW	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browne was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess.	aping and the ampoks had many phore water on the yand cover up to DEQ to reach out	nount of mud, of hotos of previous property in property in property to OWQ for fu	dirt, and water that had cous days showing possible ior days, but Mr. Brookster. Mr. Brooks was infourther movement in the	ome le oil s had orme
DEQ to the church property to ver onto the church and near heen in standing water. Ther tated that the construction or nat the next steps in the com- omplaint investigation process to was recommended that OW	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browne was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess.	aping and the ampoks had many phore water on the yand cover up to DEQ to reach out	nount of mud, of hotos of previous property in property in property to OWQ for fu	dirt, and water that had cous days showing possible ior days, but Mr. Brookster. Mr. Brooks was infourther movement in the	ome le oil s had orme
PEQ to the church property to ver onto the church and near neen in standing water. There ated that the construction or nat the next steps in the com- complaint investigation process	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browne was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess.	aping and the ampoks had many phore water on the yand cover up to DEQ to reach out	nount of mud, of hotos of previous property in property in property to OWQ for fu	dirt, and water that had cous days showing possible ior days, but Mr. Brookster. Mr. Brooks was infourther movement in the	ome le oil s had orme
DEQ to the church property to ver onto the church and near theen in standing water. There tated that the construction croat the next steps in the compomplaint investigation process was recommended that OW earby home and church.	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browne was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess.	aping and the ampoks had many phore water on the yand cover up to DEQ to reach out	nount of mud, of hotos of previous property in property in property to OWQ for fu	dirt, and water that had cous days showing possible ior days, but Mr. Brookster. Mr. Brooks was informather movement in the	ome le oil s had orme
DEQ to the church property to ver onto the church and near theen in standing water. There tated that the construction croat the next steps in the compomplaint investigation process was recommended that OW earby home and church.	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browne was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess. FUTURE // Q investigate the construction	aping and the ampoks had many phore water on the yand cover up to DEQ to reach out	hotos of previous property in property in property in property in property in to OWQ for full large amount of	dirt, and water that had cous days showing possible ior days, but Mr. Brookster. Mr. Brooks was informather movement in the	ome le oil s had orme
DEQ to the church property to ver onto the church and near heen in standing water. Ther tated that the construction or hat the next steps in the com- omplaint investigation process t was recommended that OW	o show the damage to landscarby home's property. Mr. Browne was a significant amount mew had pushed dirt over to tryplaint process would be for Dess. FUTURE // Q investigate the construction	aping and the ampoks had many phore water on the yand cover up to DEQ to reach out	hotos of previous property in property in property in property in property in to OWQ for full large amount of	dirt, and water that had cous days showing possible ior days, but Mr. Brookster. Mr. Brooks was informather movement in the	ome le oil s had orme

Complaint Report 12/1/2016

10f 1	%	Total 10,250	•		Ethibit 3	
Card:	988m 20	Total 10,250 2,050 Review Record Date By Reason Land Buildings 10/31/2023 BAM SP 10,250 split off 1.71 acres from 001-06556-000				
Page 13 of 24		Total Date 10/31/2023 B split off 1.71 a			NC HS	
Case 4:24-cv-00523-LPR Document 1 Filed 06/20/24 Property Record Card - 2024	3V Res.Vac DCT2 13013 TID:113013	L L RURAL		Remarks	Value 10,260	Total: 10,250
PR Documenty Rural Proper	Type: RV F Taxable: Neigh: DCT2	Status: Status: Block: City:RURAL Map: Old PID:	Landscaping Excellent Good Average Poor None	Grantee DG MONTICELLO AR LLC	Adj1 % Adj2	
4-cv-00523-L		MS 38917 UNDS 1.71	Topography High Low Rough Flat Sloping	1 10	Rate Pri/Sec O 0.00 0.00	
- 1	רוכ	MS 3 15-12-07 RURAL METES & BOUNDS Acres:1.71 INTICELLO 5 SE 1/4	Utilities Top No Water No Sewer No Gas No Electric No Telephone Suilding Permit Record	Ownership Record Amount Type 175,000 WD	Land Record Size Pri/Sec Depth Adj F 1.710 000 0.000	
25471 Parcel: 001-06556-004	Ownership Description Name: DG MONTICELLO AR LLC P.O BOX 230	7 6 1	Street ing Concrete Asphalt ChatSeal Gravel Dirt Bu Amount Purpose	Book Page/Inst# 2023-109727	Size Pront Size Pri	
RPID: 2547	Ownership Name: DG P.O	CARROL Subd.: 120715 S-T-R: 15-12-07 T.D.: 118 M Location: Legal: A Part of t	Trend Improving Static Declining New Old	Date B ₄	Sub PT Siz	

25471 Parcel: 001-06556-004 Case 4:24-cv-(Diffe 35 birthy Right Inspirity Redort Canal 2424 Page 14 of 24

Card: 1 of 웊

ပ္

Actua

Functional External Construction 1st FIrTotal Liv Grade Age YC YR Cond Replace Rem % Physical Occupancy

OB/YI Total Contributory Value (RCN x Accrued Depreciation x Market Adjustment + Flatted Items + Other Additives)

Total **Base Structure and Additive Items Building Computation** Adjustments to Base S.F./Qty Rate Int.Est?: Sk. File: Status: Contact: otal Structure RCN 6/3/2024 Grade Adj Factor Market Adjustment Story Hgt Factor **Composite Factor** Adj Price per SF Floor Structure otal of Above otal Base SF +/- Grade leat & Cool 3ase Value loor Cover -oundation Roof Cover Base Price ns Ceiling Basement nspected: Plumbing Revisited: Fireplace ns Wall ns Floor Entered: Printed: tem Rem % Value NC HS Grade **Outbuildings and Yard Improvements** Sketch Area Qty2 UMQR Age Rate Qty1 Wd Shakes Clay/Slate Asp Shing Wd Shing Fib Shing HipGable Roof Cover GalvAlum Item Type Mansard Roof Type Gambrel Fireplaces Plumbing Arched Dormer Gable Flat Type Count Extra Total 품 ᆵ Closed Pier Floor Struct Wood SubF Slab Grade Floor Cover HW Sheath Foundation **T**Unfinished Comb M/F MasonVen Elec Base None Softwood Open Pier CarpetTile Elev Slab F/W Furn HW Parq **Basement** Low Cost Standard Linoleum nsulation Hot Air/F Elec Ceil Finished Heat/Cool Cer Tile ☐Fin Part Central Ceiling 1 None Ext Wall Stone Other Floor Wall Total

RPID: 21553 Parcel: 704-00078-000		Case 4.24-cv-00363-Lr	ity Urban Property Record Card - 2024	L	r aye 13 01 24		C: C:	Card:	1 of 1
Name: DG WILMAR AR LLC			Type: RV Res. Vac Taxable:	ניק	Year Land	2021 12,200	20% 2,440	2016 7,150	20 % 1,430
P.O.BOX 230			DCWIL 120468	BI TID:120468 T	Bidgs	12 200	2 440	7.150	1,430
CARROLLTON		MS 38917	' -	atus:			Revie		
33-12-08 025 WII	Acres: 2.073	2.073	77. MILMAF		Date 7/15/2021	BY RKP R	Reason Land RV 12,200	Buildings 00	Total 12,200
Location: Legal: ALL 6 & 7			Map: Old PID:	7/1.	7/1/2016	RKP RV	7,150	50	7,150
Trend Street	Utilities	Topography	Landscaping	• 7/5	7/5/2011	RKP RV	7,150	20	7,160
Improving Concrete X Static X Asphalt Declining ChatSeal New Gravel Dict	No Water No Sewer No Gas No Electric No Telephone		Excellent Good Average Poor None	712	7/2/2008			20	7,150
	Building Bermit Becord	Sur Programme		7/1	7/1/2005	RKP RV	v 7,150	20	7,150
Date Amount Purpose	7	911 ADDR	ESS @2576 US HWY 278	6/2	7/9/2002	PJK RV	۷ 7,200	00	7,200
	Ownership Record	p							
Date Book Page/Inst# 2/23/2024 2024-110327 1/12/2018 2018-94411 10/9/2017 2017-93826 1/1/1983	Amount Type 50,000 TD SW BE	Grantee DG WILMAR BURKS, BEV BURKS, JAN BURKS, JAN	MAR AR LLC BEVERLY J AS TRUSTEE OF THE JAMES H., ETAL JAMES H., ETAL	Remarks 1E beneficiary deed to beverly jane burks and j.r burks	ed to be	/erly jane	burks and j.	r burks	
	Land Record			- 1					
Sub PT Size/Front Size Pri/S	Size Pri/Sec Depth Adj R 1.000 .000 1.073	Rate Pri/Sec O 9000.00 3000.00	Adj1 % Adj2 % 215	Value NC F 12,219 215 X 420	<u>ਵ</u>			·	Exhibit 4
								-	
FIELD CHECKED, NO CHANGES	Ś		Total:	12,200					

Functional External 21553 Parcel: 704-00078-000 Case 4:24-cv-@A&&CeBRRy UPBAN PROBERY Relead OG 4240 1240 4 Page 16 of 24 RPID:

Card: 1 of NC HS

Actual

Physical Replace Rem % YR Cond Age YC Occupancy Construction 1st FIrTotal Liv Grade OB/YI Total Contributory Value (RCN x Accrued Depreciation x Market Adjustment + Flatted Items + Other Additives)

Total **Base Structure and Additive Items** Contact: VA **Building Computation** Adjustments to Base S.F./Qty Rate Int.Est?: Sk. File: Status: KDS 11/25/2019 6/3/2024 RKP 10/4/2019 inspected: KRG 10/4/2019 Fotal Structure RCN Grade Adj Factor **Market Adjustment** Story Hgt Factor Composite Factor Adj Price per SF **Fotal of Above** Floor Structure otal Base SF +/- Grade Heat & Cool Floor Cover **Base Value** Foundation Roof Cover Revisited: Base Price ns Ceiling Basement Plumbing -ireplace Entered: ns Floor Printed: ns Wall tem Grade Rem % Value NC HS **Outbuildings and Yard Improvements** Sketch Area 18 4 West Press Oty2 UM QR Age Rate Oty1 Wd Shakes Asp Shing Clay/Slate Wd Shing Fib Shing GalvAlum Item Type HipGable Roof Cover Mansard Gambrel Fireplaces Roof Type Plumbing Dormer Arched Gable Flat Count Extra ype Total 큠 ᆵ Slab Grade **HW Sheath** Closed Pier Wood SubF Floor Cover Floor Struct Unfinished CarpetTile Foundation Elec Base Comb M/F MasonVen Open Pier Elev Slab Softwood **Basement** Finished F/W Furn **HW Parq** Linoleum nsulation Elec Ceil Low Cost Standard Hot Air/F Fin Part **Heat/Cool** Cer Tile Central Ceiling Ext Wall None Stone Other None Floor Wall **Total**

Drainage issue Hwy 278 Document 1 Filed 06/20/24 Page 17 of 24 **Subject** EHIBH 7

[ctbrooks0121@yahoo.com <ctbrooks0121@yahoo.com>] To:

Waters, David H. < David. Waters@ardot.gov> From

[Stinnett, David K. <David.Stinnett@ardot.gov>, Hendricks, Chris P. <Chris.Hendricks@ardot.gov>] Cc:

Thu, May 16, 2024 at 4:46 PM Date:

On May 9 myself along with the supervisor of Drew Co traveled to Wilmer to look at a complaint about water drainage. The complaint was from a Mr. Brooks about water draining from hwy 278. While we were looking at the property I noticed 2 drains that are designed to drain from water from the property. Both of those drains are in the yard between 2 houses. While we where walking I noticed that one of those drains was stopped up with debris and grass clippings. I was able to clean off the drain to allow water to drain freely back into the storm drains. Also, we noticed that there was a washout underneath the sidewalk that rurs parallel with the two houses along hwy 278. I notified our bridge crew to go down and repair those washouts. The bridge crew went down on May 15&16 to work on and make the repairs to the drop inlets and sidewalk. I noticed that there are 2 cut drains running towards the box drains located on the side if hwy 278 that drain into the backside of the storm drains. Those drains that are running through the yards appeared to be silted it in over the years inhibiting the water to properly drain into those storm drains. Mr. Brooks is very concerned about the water drainage from the Dollar General store that is being built alongside County Rd 133. I advised him that that dispute would be between the property owners and Dollar General.

David

Logo Description automatically generated

David Waters, District 2 Maint. Superintendent

Counties: Arkansas, Ashley, Chicot, Desha, Drew, Grant, Jefferson, Lincoln

4900 Hwy 65 South | P.O. Box 6836| Pine Bluff, AR 71611

(: 870.534.1612| 7: 870.534.2038 |

*:david.waters@ardot.gov | www.ArDOT.gov





DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Sarah Huckabee Sanders GOVERNOR Shane E. Khoury SECRETARY

June 17, 2024

Chris Logan, Mike Rozier Construction Company Inc. Wilmar Dollar General Construction Project PO Box 230 Carrollton, Mississippi 38917 Email Address: chris@rozierconstruction.com

RE:

Dollar General Construction Inspection- PDS# 130732 (Drew County)

AFIN: 22-00000

NPDES Permit No.: ARR150000

Dear Mr. Chris Logan:

On June 6, 2024, I performed a Construction Stormwater Inspection of the above-referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed for your records.

Please refer to the "Summary of Findings" section of the inspection report and provide a written response for each item that was noted. This response should be mailed to the attention of the Office of Water Quality Compliance Branch at the address below my signature or emailed to <u>Water-Inspection-Report@adeq.state.ar.us</u>. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. The corrective action(s) should be completed as soon as possible and the written response with all necessary documentation (i.e. photos) is due by July 1, 2024.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at Malcolm.Jackson@arkansas.gov or 501-514-0987.

Sincerely,

Malcolm Jackson

Inspector, Office of Water Quality

Inspection Report: Dollar General Construction, AFIN: 22-00000, Permit #: ARR150000

		OFFICE OF WATER QUALITY INSPECTION REPORT			
((AR))) ENVIRONMENTAL	AFIN: 22-00000	PERMIT#: ARR	150000	DATE: 6/13/2024	
	COUNTY: 22 Dr	ew	PDS #: 130732	MEDIA: WN	
	GPS LAT: 33.62	982 LONG: -91.9	30000 LOCATION	: General Area	
FACILITY INFORMA		INSPECTION INFORMATION			
Dollar General Construction 1 -			·		
Corner of HWY 278 and HWY 133		1 - Unsatisfa	ictory C	onstruction Stormwater	
Wilmar		DATE(S): 6/13/2024	ENTRY TIME: EXIT TIME: 10:34 11:36	PERMITEFFECTIVE DATE:	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL		<i>37.13.23.23.</i>		PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE:	
NAME: / TITLE				10/31/2026	
Chris Logan / Responsible Officia			INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS		
Mike Rozier Construction Co. MAILING ADDRESS: PO 230 GITY, STATE, ZIP:			riemailuetc.: :kson/inspector/5(m.Jackson@arkar		
Carrollton MS 38917 PHONE & EXT: / FAX:					
601-408-2872 / EMAIL					
chris@rozierconstruction.com					

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

CONTACTED DURING INSPECTION: No

- 1.) Oil and hydraulic fluid appear to have been present in the run-off from the site onto the adjacent property. Oil is considered a prohibited discharge under the rules of the permit and allowing a discharge of any type of pollutant will be in violation of A.C.A. § 8-4-217 (a) (2.)
- 2.) The site did not have a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan(SWPPP), Notice of Coverage(NOC) or stormwater inspection forms readily available on site during this inspection. This is a violation of permit condition Part I. Section B.6.A.
- 3.) Inspections are not being conducted at the site. This is a violation of permit condition Part II, Section (A)(4)(N).
- 4.) The site did not feature any BMPs to control erosion, sedimentation, or stormwater run-off from the site. This is a violation of Part II.Section A.4.I.1 of the permit.
- 5.) Concrete washout was present at the construction site, concrete washout is considered a prohibited discharge under Part I.Section B.13.E.1.

Inspection Report: Dollar General Construction, AFIN: 22-00000, Permit #: ARR150000

GENERAL COMMENTS

On May 14th, 2024, DEQ-Office of Land Resources investigated the Dollar General construction site in response to a complaint of spilled oil. An equipment operator, Michael Goodman, was on site and was given a DEQ complaint form. The land was being cleared and leveled for new store construction. The property was inspected, and no oil contamination or overly distressed vegetation was observed other than standard construction and land-clearing operations in the storm drain area mentioned in the complaint. No oil sheen, smell, or contamination was observed during the investigation in the immediate vicinity of the storm drain.

The complainant, Cornelius Brooks, approached DEQ personnel while on-site for investigation. Mr. Brooks walked DEQ to the church property to show the damage to landscaping and the amount of mud, dirt, and water that had come over onto the church and nearby home's property. Mr. Brooks had many photos of previous days showing possible oil sheen in standing water. There was a significant amount more water on the property in prior days, but Mr. Brooks had stated that the construction crew had pushed dirt over to try and cover up the standing water. Mr. Brooks was informed that the next steps in the complaint process would be for DEQ to reach out to the Office of Water Quality for further movement in the complaint investigation process.

After a period of waiting, Mr. Brooks visted the E&E Headquarters in-person. The Office of Water Quality-Compliance Branch Manager, Jason Bolenbaugh recorded and referred Mr.Brooks complaint to Inspector Supervisor Michael Young. This correspondence was shared with Inspector Malcolm Jackson on 05/30/2024. The complaint was investigated and an inspection was performed on 06/06/2024 beginning at approximately 10:34. It was warm, sunny day. Several issues were immeadiately apparent from a construction stormwater perspective. First, the site had none of the docmentation required for a small site construction project. The site did not have a SWPPP, Notice of Coverage (NOC), or stormwater inspection forms present and readily available on-site. Despite, receiving automatic coverage as an apparent small site construction project, all this documentation must be posted prior to and throughout construction. Second, the site had no tangiable BMPs to prevent the run-off of excess sedimentation or stormwater. This is a violation as all sites are required to mitigate the amount of run-off leaving a construction site. This includes but is not limited to: placing silt fencing along the perimeter, placing storm inlet protection, and building check dams. as needed. Part of Mr.Brooks' complaint stemmed from the fact that much of the water from the construction site was running off onto the adjancent property. This area was still wet at the time of my inspection. Due to the clear weather, the discharge of construction site run-off was not directly observed. However, no BMPs were present and the area was waterlogged in contrast to the dry surrounding area. Concrete Washout was also present in several areas along the perimeter nearest to HWY 133. This is a violation of the General Permit conditions.

Mr.Brooks orginal complaint stated that an oil spill occurred, and according to Jason Bolenbaugh's record of the complaint follow-up made at headquarters by Mr.Brooks, this oil contiued to seep up even after the effected area was covered with dirt. During my inspection I did not find direct evidence of an oil spill, however several other items point to oil being present in the area. The water near the site of the spill generally has a dark tint that does not appear to be from mud or soil. Even though the area is covered with dirt, several puddles nearby still appear unnaturally dark. Also, the photos that Mr.Brooks have of the area from previous interations of the project clearly show areas with this same characteristic tint and feature prominent oil sheen in various puddles. In some of Mr.Brooks' photos, the grass is stained. Another one of Mr.Brooks' concerns was that due to the lack of BMPs, some of this oil may be washed into the nearby storm drains.

The responsible official for the site, Mr. Chris Logan, was contacted at the Mike Rozier Construction Company. According to Mr. Logan, the oil that was present was hydralic fluid from the machinery used on-site. Mr.Logan was informed that vehicles must be properly maintained and that proper inpsections of the site could have clarified what the source of the oil was as it relates to the original complaint. Mr. Logan was informed that several violations have occurred at the construction site and corrective actions are required in the response to this report.

INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE: Malcolm Jackson	DATE: 06/13/2024
Milas	·
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE: Michael Young	DATE: 06/13/2024

Inspection Report: Dollar General Construction , AFIN: 22-00000, Permit #: ARR150000

Case 4:24-cv-00523-LPR Document 1 Filed 06/20/24 Page 22 of 24

Inspection Report: Dollar General Construction , AFIN: 22-00000, Permit #: ARR150000

SECTION A: SITE POSTING AND SITE INFORMATION	
Site Name: Dollar General Construction Project-Wilmar Total Acreage: 2.073 Acreage Disturbed: N/A	
Project Type: ☐ Residential ☒ Commercial ☐ Industrial ☐ Municipal ☐ Other	
Construction Phase:	
☐ Clearing ☐ Rough Grading ☐ Infrastructure ☒ Building Construction ☐ Final ☐ Inactive ☐	
If project is part of larger common plan of development, what is development name and permit num Development Name: Development Permit No:	nber (Part I, A.31)?
Is site properly posted with Notice of Coverage (NOC)? Posting must be at the site entrance and visible to the public. NOC is complete and reflects the correct location of the SWPPP (Part II, A.2.D). For linear sites, the posting is at the area actively under construction (Part II, A.2.D).	"Y ☑N "NA "NE
Do stormwater discharges from the site enter an ERW, NSW, or ESW, or a waterbody listed on the 303(d) list or a waterbody with an approved TMDL (Part I, B.11)?	"Y "N ØNA "NE
A. If yes, have additional BMPs been implemented to sufficiently protect water quality or prevent to the maximum extent possible exposure to stormwater of pollutants that could potentially impact water quality (Part I, B.11.E-F)?	"Y "N "NA "NE
Comments:	
SECTION B: SWPPP AND INSPECTIONS	Andrew Committee of the
Is the SWPPP being maintained onsite from 08:00 am to 5:00 pm (Part II, A.2.A)?	"Y 🗹N "NA "NE
A. If yes, is a complete and updated SWPPP and site map maintained onsite (Part II, A.3)?	"Y "N "NA "NE
2. What is the inspection frequency selected in the SWPPP?	
	ns are not occurring
3. If the inspection frequency is every 14 days or within 24 hours of a 0.25 inch rain event, is a rain gauge properly installed and checked (Part II, A.4.N.1.b)?	"Y "N ØNA "NE
4. Are inspection records available for review (Part II, A.4.N.3)?	"Y 🗹N "NA "NE
5. Is the chosen inspection frequency being followed by the permittee?	"Y "N 2 NA "NE
6. What is the date of the last inspection conducted by the permittee?	N/A
7. Are DEQ inspection forms being used?	"Y "N 🗹 NA "NE
A. If no, do the alternative inspection forms contain the required information (Part II, A.4.N.2)?	"Y "N "NA "NE
8. Do the inspections address the current site conditions (Part II, A.4.N)?	"Y "N ☑NA "NE
 Do the inspections include all areas of the site and all applicable offsite areas (Part II, A.4.N)? 	"Y "N 🗹 NA "NE
10. Does the inspector note pollution entering stormwater conveyances or discharges offsite (Part II, A.4.N)?	ØY "N "NA "NE
11. Does the inspector ensure all stormwater control measures are properly installed and maintained (Part II, A.4.N)?	"Y "N ⊠NA "NE
12. Are all outfalls being inspected (Part II, A.4.N)?	"Y "N ØNA "NE
13. Are all construction entrances and exits inspected for offsite tracking (Part II, A.4.N)?	"Y "N ☑NA "NE
14. Are all corrective actions being implemented within 3 business days (Part II, A.4.O)?	"Y "N ØNA "NE

Case 4:24-cv-00523-LPR Document 1 Filed 06/20/24 Page 23 of 24 Inspection Report: **Dollar General Construction**, AFIN: **22-00000**, Permit #: **ARR150000**

Co	mments:	
		
SE	CTION C: EFFLUENT LIMIT GUIDELINES AND BUFFER ZONES	
1.	Are all erosion and sediment controls installed and effective (Part I, B.13.A)?	"Y ØN "NA "NE
2.	Is offsite tracking of sediment occurring?	"Y ØN "NA "NE
3.	Has construction activities ceased in any areas previously disturbed (Part II, A.4.G.2)?	"Y ØN "NA "NE
	A. If yes, have construction activities ceased for more than 14 days?	"Y "N "NA "NE
	i. If yes, have temporary or permanent stabilization measures been initiated?	"Y "N "NA "NE
4.	Are any discharges from dewatering activities occurring?	"Y ØN "NA "NE
	A. If yes, are the dewatering discharges managed by using appropriate BMPs (Part I, B.13.C)?	"Y "N "NA "NE
5.	Is the permittee minimizing the discharge of pollutants from equipment and vehicle washing, wheel wash water, and other wash waters by using appropriate BMPs (Part I, B.13.D.1)?	"Y ØN "NA "NE
6.	Are any materials, products, or wastes associated with construction activities managed in a way that allows materials to discharge or leave the site (Part I, B.13.D.2)?	ØY "N "NA "NE
7.	Are spills or leaks of potential pollutants evident (Part I, B13.D.3)?	☑Y "N "NA "NE
8.	Are any of the following discharges occurring (Part I, B.13.E)?	
	☑ Concrete Washout ☐ Wash-out of Stucco, Paint, Oils, Curing Compounds ☑ Fuel or Oil	☑Y "N "NA "NE
	☐ Soaps, Solvents, or Detergents ☐ Toxic or Hazardous Substances ☐ Other	
9.	Are there any process waters discharges or non-stormwater discharges occurring that are not allowed under this permit (A.C.A § 8-4-217(b)(1)(E) & Part I, B.10)?	"Y 🗹N "NA "NE
10.	Has sediment been discharged or placed off the construction site (A.C.A. § 8-4-217(a)(2))? If sediment has been discharged to waters of the State, provide the name of the waterbody.	☑Y "N "NA "NE
11.	Are natural buffer zones being maintained at all times (Part I, B.14.A-B)?	"Y "N 🗹 NA "NE
12.	If a linear project, do the natural buffer zone setbacks meet the requirements established by the Department (Part I, B.14.C)?	"Y "N ⊠NA "NE
Co	mments:	
SE	CTION D: SEDIMENT BASINS	
1.	Is sediment basin required?	"Y 🗹N "NA "NE
	A. If yes, is the sediment basin installed and is it installed as described in the SWPPP?	"Y "N "NA "NE
2.	Does the sediment basin have adequate storage capacity?	"Y "N 🗹 NA "NE
3.	Are proper outlet structures (withdraw water from the surface) in place for the basin (Part I, B.13.F)?	"Y "N 🗹 NA "NE
4.	Have velocity dissipation devices been installed at discharge points, within concentrated flow areas serving two or more acres, or along drainage courses where required to prevent erosive flows (Part II, A.4.I.3.b)?	"Y "N ⊠NA "NE